

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/840,133	05/06/2004	Albrecht Weiss	5005.1078	7239	
23280 75	90 09/16/2005		EXAMINER		
	DAVIDSON & KAPP	NGUYEN, THONG Q			
485 SEVENTH NEW YORK,	AVENUE, 14TH FLOO NY 10018	R	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TIEW TOTAL,			2872		

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						<u> </u>		
		A	Application No	•	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany			10/840,133		WEISS ET AL.	(h)		
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit			
			Thong Q. Nguye		2872			
Ti Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this commun eply	ication appea	rs on the cove	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
WHICHE - Extension after SIX (- If NO peric - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MISS of time may be available under the provisions 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commod for reply is specified above, the maximum starterly within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months at tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136(a nunication. atutory period will a will, by statute, car	E OF THIS Company. In no event, how apply and will expire use the application	OMMUNICATION vever, may a reply be times SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
	sponsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ction is non-fir	nal				
, 		.—			secution as to the	merits is		
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	•		,,,,					
Disposition				·				
•	nim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the a	• •	.					
	Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn	from conside	ration.				
•==	im(s) is/are allowed.							
· ·	☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.							
•	im(s) is/are objected to.							
8)∐ Cla	im(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or e	election require	ement.				
Application	Papers							
9)⊠ The	specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)⊠ The	drawing(s) filed on 06 May 2004	is/are: a)⊠	accepted or b	o) objected to I	by the Examiner.			
App	olicant may not request that any object	ction to the dra	awing(s) be held	d in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Rep	placement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	is required if the	ne drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).		
11) The	oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exan	niner. Note the	e attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.		
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)⊠ A 1.∑ 2.[3.[Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority	documents h documents h of the priority nal Bureau (F	nave been rec nave been rec documents h PCT Rule 17.3	eived. eived in Applicati ave been receive 2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage		
2) Notice of 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fon Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or (s)/Mail Date 5/6/04 & 9/30/04.		_	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal F Other:		D-152)		

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

2. The drawings contained three sheets of figures 1-4 were received on 5/6/2004. These drawings are approved by the Examiner.

Specification

- 3. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The brief descriptions of figures 3 and 4 as provided in sections [0016]-[0017] are confusing with respect to the detailed descriptions provided in sections [0020] and [0026]. In particular, while section [0016] states that the figure 3 shows a microscope having an arrangement for compensating the image offset produced by an analyzer; however, in section [0020], it is noted that figure 3 shows a microscope having a fluorescent device. In the same viewpoint, while section [0017] states that the figure 4 shows a microscope having a fluorescent device; however, in section [0026], it is noted that the figure 4 shows a microscope having an arrangement for compensating the image offset produced by an analyzer. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2872

5. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The specification has not provided support for the arrangement of the analyzer and the wedge plates inside a common mount as recited in claim 4. Applicant is respectfully invited to review the specification, in particular, section [0018], in which the specification discloses that the wedge plates are arranged inside a receiving ring (4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a) Claim 9 is indefinite because the claim is dependent upon itself.
 - b) The remaining claims are dependent upon the rejected base claim and thus inherit the deficiency thereof.

Claim Objections

- 8. Claims 1 and 8 are objected to because of the following informalities.

 Appropriate correction is required.
 - a) In claim 1: on line 8, "plate" should be changed to --plates-- (see also claim 2, line 2; claim 3, line 2, etc...).

Art Unit: 2872

b) In claim 8: on line 9, "plate" should be changed to --plates--. It is also suggested that the terms thereof --the steps of-- should be added after "comprising" appeared on line 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 1-11, as best as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takabayashi et al (EP 510 329, submitted by applicant) in view of Aono (Japanese reference No. 2001-91822).

Takabayashi et al disclose an optical system. The system as described in columns 8-11 and shown in figure 4 comprises the following features: First, an interference contrast transmitted-light device (C2) having an analyzer (39) which is able to insert into the imaging light path wherein the analyzer inherently causes a beam deflection; Second, a fluorescent device (C1); Third, the interference contrast transmitted-light device and the fluorescent device is selectably and alternatively inserted into the imaging light path via a mechanism (47-48); Fourth, a receiving system having a CCD for receiving images provided by the interference contrast transmitted-light device and the fluorescent device; Fifth, a control system having a CPU (51), a memory (50), ...for processing the images. See columns 10-11. Regarding to the feature that the analyzer is a polarizing

Application/Control Number: 10/840,133

Art Unit: 2872

film, such a feature is inherent from the structure of the analyzer because the

analyzer is inherently a combination of a polarizing film formed on a substrate.

The only feature missing from the system provided by Takabayashi et al is that

they do not state the use of a compensating element after the analyzer for the

purpose of cancellation the beam deflection of the analyzer.

The use of a compensating element after an analyzer for the purpose of compensating for the change in optical path length caused by the changes in fluorescent cubes is known to one skilled in the art as can be seen in the microscope having fluorescent device and interference contrast transmitted-light device provided by Aono. In particular, in columns 11+ and figure 7, Aono disclose a compensating element (43) in the form of two wedged-plates (43a and 43b) of the same configuration wherein the compensating element is disposed after the analyzer (25). While Aono does not clearly state that the movement of one of the wedged-shaped plates is compensated for the change in the beam deflection caused by the analyzer; however, such a result is obtained due to the movement of the at least one wedged-shaped plate of the compensating element. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system as provided by Takabayashi et al by using a compensating element in the form of two wedged-shaped plates disposed after an analyzer as suggested by Aono for the purpose of compensating for the change in beam deflection caused by the analyzer.

Art Unit: 2872

Regarding to the method as recited in the present claim 8-11, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to set forth a set of steps which includes the step of receiving an image by using the interference contrast transmitted-light device (C2), the step of receiving an image by using the fluorescent cube (C1) via the mechanism (47-48), the step of combination the two images (see Takabayashi et al, columns 10-11), and then the step of utilizing a compensating element after the analyzer for the purpose of compensating the change in beam deflection caused by the analyzer.

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. It is noted that duplicate citations of the Germany reference 35 42 218 have been lined-through to prevent that reference from being printed twice on the face of the patent should this application pass to issue.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thong Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2316. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew A. Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2872

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thong Q Nguyen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2872
